Tidal Love numbers of Kerr black holes

Alexandre Le Tiec

Laboratoire Univers et Théories
Observatoire de Paris / CNRS

Collaborators: M. Casals & E. Franzin

Submitted to PRL, gr-qc/2007.00214

Newtonian theory of Love numbers



$$U = \frac{M}{r}$$

Newtonian theory of Love numbers



$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b U_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{0})$$

$$U = \frac{M}{r} - \frac{1}{2} x^a x^b \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$

Newtonian theory of Love numbers



$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b U_{\rm ext}(\mathbf{0})$$

$$U = \frac{M}{r} - \frac{1}{2} x^a x^b \mathcal{E}_{ab} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{x^a x^b Q_{ab}}{r^5}$$

Newtonian theory of Love numbers



$$Q_{ab} = \lambda_2 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$



$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b U_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{0})$$

$$U = \frac{M}{r} - \frac{1}{2} x^a x^b \mathcal{E}_{ab} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{x^a x^b Q_{ab}}{r^5}$$

Newtonian theory of Love numbers



$$Q_{ab} = \lambda_2 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$
$$= -\tfrac{2}{3} k_2 R^5 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$



$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b U_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{0})$$

$$U = \frac{M}{r} - \frac{1}{2} x^a x^b \mathcal{E}_{ab} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{x^a x^b Q_{ab}}{r^5}$$

Newtonian theory of Love numbers



$$Q_{ab} = \lambda_2 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$
$$= -\tfrac{2}{3} k_2 R^5 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$



$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b U_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{0})$$

$$U = \frac{M}{r} - \frac{1}{2} x^a x^b \mathcal{E}_{ab} \left[1 + 2 k_2 \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^5 \right]$$

Newtonian theory of Love numbers



$$Q_{ab} = \lambda_2 \mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\tfrac{2}{3} k_2 R^5 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$



$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b U_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{0})$$

$$U = \frac{M}{r} - \sum_{\ell \geqslant 2} \frac{(\ell-2)!}{\ell!} x^{a_1} \cdots x^{a_\ell} \mathcal{E}_{a_1 \cdots a_\ell} \left[1 + 2 k_\ell \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{2\ell+1} \right]$$

Newtonian theory of Love numbers



$$Q_{ab} = \lambda_2 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$
$$= -\frac{2}{3} k_2 R^5 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$



$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b U_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{0})$$

$$U = \frac{M}{r} - \sum_{\ell \geqslant 2} \sum_{|m| \leqslant \ell} \frac{(\ell-2)!}{\ell!} \, r^\ell \mathcal{E}_{\ell m} \left[1 + 2 k_\ell \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{2\ell+1} \right] Y_{\ell m}$$

Newtonian theory of Love numbers



$$Q_{ab} = \lambda_2 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$
$$= -\frac{2}{3} k_2 R^5 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$



$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b U_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{0})$$

$$\psi_0 = \sum_{\ell \geqslant 2} \sum_{|m| \leqslant \ell} \sqrt{\frac{(\ell+2)(\ell+1)}{\ell(\ell-1)}}\, r^{\ell-2}\, \mathcal{E}_{\ell m} \left[1 + 2 k_\ell \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{2\ell+1} \right] {}_2Y_{\ell m}$$

Newtonian theory of Love numbers

M



$$Q_{ab} = \lambda_2 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$
$$= -\tfrac{2}{3} k_2 R^5 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$



$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b U_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{0})$$

$$\psi_0 = \sum_{\ell \geqslant 2} \sum_{|m| \leqslant \ell} \sqrt{\frac{(\ell+2)(\ell+1)}{\ell(\ell-1)}}\, r^{\ell-2}\, \mathcal{E}_{\ell m} \left[1 + 2 k_{\ell m} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{2\ell+1} \right] {}_2Y_{\ell m}$$

Newtonian theory of Love numbers

M



$$Q_{ab} = \lambda_2 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$
$$= -\tfrac{2}{3} k_2 R^5 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$



$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b U_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{0})$$

$$\psi_0 = \sum_{\ell \geqslant 2} \sum_{|m| \leqslant \ell} \sqrt{\frac{(\ell+2)(\ell+1)}{\ell(\ell-1)}}\, r^{\ell-2}\, \mathcal{E}_{\ell m} \left[1 + 2 k_{\ell m} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{2\ell+1} \right] {}_2Y_{\ell m}$$

$$k_{\ell m} = k_\ell^{(0)} + i m \chi\, k_\ell^{(1)} + O(\chi^2)$$

Newtonian theory of Love numbers



$$Q_{ab} = \lambda_2 \mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\tfrac{2}{3} {\color{red}k_2} R^5 \mathcal{E}_{ab}$$



$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = -\partial_a \partial_b U_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{0})$$

$$\psi_0 = \sum_{\ell \geqslant 2} \sum_{|m| \leqslant \ell} \sqrt{\frac{(\ell+2)(\ell+1)}{\ell(\ell-1)}}\, r^{\ell-2}\, \mathcal{E}_{\ell m} \left[1 + 2 {\color{red}k_{\ell m}} \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{2\ell+1} \right] {}_2Y_{\ell m}$$

Tidal Love numbers ${\color{red}k_{\ell m}}$ $\longleftrightarrow$ **body's internal structure**

Internal structure of neutron stars



GW observations as probes of **neutron star internal structure**

Relativistic theory of Love numbers

- Electric-type and magnetic-type tidal moments:

$$\mathcal{E}_L \propto \hat{C}_{0a_1 0a_2;a_3\cdots a_\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_L \propto \varepsilon_{a_1 bc} \hat{C}_{a_2 0bc;a_3\cdots a_\ell}$$

Relativistic theory of Love numbers

- Electric-type and magnetic-type tidal moments:

$$\mathcal{E}_L \propto \hat{C}_{0a_1 0a_2;a_3\cdots a_\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_L \propto \varepsilon_{a_1 bc}\hat{C}_{a_2 0bc;a_3\cdots a_\ell}$$

- Metric and Geroch-Hansen multipole moments:

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} + \underbrace{h^{\text{tidal}}_{\alpha\beta}}_{\sim r^\ell} + \underbrace{h^{\text{resp}}_{\alpha\beta}}_{\sim r^{-(\ell+1)}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} M_L = \mathring{M}_L + \delta M_L \\ S_L = \mathring{S}_L + \delta S_L \end{cases}$$

Relativistic theory of Love numbers

- Electric-type and magnetic-type tidal moments:

$$\mathcal{E}_L \propto \hat{C}_{0a_1 0a_2;a_3\cdots a_\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_L \propto \varepsilon_{a_1 bc}\hat{C}_{a_2 0bc;a_3\cdots a_\ell}$$

- Metric and Geroch-Hansen multipole moments:

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} + \underbrace{h^{\text{tidal}}_{\alpha\beta}}_{\sim r^\ell} + \underbrace{h^{\text{resp}}_{\alpha\beta}}_{\sim r^{-(\ell+1)}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} M_L = \mathring{M}_L + \delta M_L \\ S_L = \mathring{S}_L + \delta S_L \end{cases}$$

- Two families of tidal deformability parameters:

$$\delta M_L = \lambda^{\text{el}}_\ell \mathcal{E}_L \quad \text{and} \quad \delta S_L = \lambda^{\text{mag}}_\ell \mathcal{B}_L$$

Relativistic theory of Love numbers

- Electric-type and magnetic-type tidal moments:

$$\mathcal{E}_L \propto \hat{C}_{0a_1 0a_2;a_3\cdots a_\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}_L \propto \varepsilon_{a_1 bc} \hat{C}_{a_2 0bc;a_3\cdots a_\ell}$$

- Metric and Geroch-Hansen multipole moments:

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} + \underbrace{h^{\text{tidal}}_{\alpha\beta}}_{\sim r^\ell} + \underbrace{h^{\text{resp}}_{\alpha\beta}}_{\sim r^{-(\ell+1)}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} M_L = \mathring{M}_L + \delta M_L \\ S_L = \mathring{S}_L + \delta S_L \end{cases}$$

- Two families of tidal deformability parameters:

$$\delta M_L = \lambda^{\text{el}}_\ell \mathcal{E}_L \quad \text{and} \quad \delta S_L = \lambda^{\text{mag}}_\ell \mathcal{B}_L$$

- Dimensionless tidal Love numbers:

$$k^{\text{el/mag}}_\ell \equiv -\frac{(2\ell-1)!!}{2(\ell-2)!} \frac{\lambda^{\text{el/mag}}_\ell}{R^{2\ell+1}}$$

Love numbers of *spinning* compact objects

- The spin breaks the spherical symmetry of the background
  - No proportionality between $(\delta M_L, \delta S_L)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_L, \mathcal{B}_L)$
  - Degeneracy of the azimuthal number m lifted
  - Parity mixing and mode couplings allowed

Love numbers of *spinning* compact objects

- The spin breaks the spherical symmetry of the background
  - No proportionality between $(\delta M_L, \delta S_L)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_L, \mathcal{B}_L)$
  - Degeneracy of the azimuthal number m lifted
  - Parity mixing and mode couplings allowed

- Metric and Geroch-Hansen multipole moments:

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} + \underbrace{h^{\text{tidal}}_{\alpha\beta}}_{\sim r^{\ell}} + \underbrace{h^{\text{resp}}_{\alpha\beta}}_{\sim r^{-(\ell+1)}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} M_{\ell m} = \mathring{M}_{\ell m} + \delta M_{\ell m} \\ S_{\ell m} = \mathring{S}_{\ell m} + \delta S_{\ell m} \end{cases}$$

Love numbers of *spinning* compact objects

- The spin breaks the spherical symmetry of the background
  - No proportionality between $(\delta M_L, \delta S_L)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_L, \mathcal{B}_L)$
  - Degeneracy of the azimuthal number m lifted
  - Parity mixing and mode couplings allowed

- Metric and Geroch-Hansen multipole moments:

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} + \underbrace{h^{\text{tidal}}_{\alpha\beta}}_{\sim r^{\ell}} + \underbrace{h^{\text{resp}}_{\alpha\beta}}_{\sim r^{-(\ell+1)}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} M_{\ell m} = \mathring{M}_{\ell m} + \delta M_{\ell m} \\ S_{\ell m} = \mathring{S}_{\ell m} + \delta S_{\ell m} \end{cases}$$

- Four families of tidal deformability parameters:

$$\lambda^{M\mathcal{E}}_{\ell\ell' mm'} \equiv \frac{\partial \delta M_{\ell m}}{\partial \mathcal{E}_{\ell' m'}} \qquad \lambda^{S\mathcal{B}}_{\ell\ell' mm'} \equiv \frac{\partial \delta S_{\ell m}}{\partial \mathcal{B}_{\ell' m'}}$$

$$\lambda^{S\mathcal{E}}_{\ell\ell' mm'} \equiv \frac{\partial \delta S_{\ell m}}{\partial \mathcal{E}_{\ell' m'}} \qquad \lambda^{M\mathcal{B}}_{\ell\ell' mm'} \equiv \frac{\partial \delta M_{\ell m}}{\partial \mathcal{B}_{\ell' m'}}$$

Black holes have *zero* Love numbers

Reference	Background	Tidal field
[Binnington & Poisson 2009]	Schwarzschild	weak, generic ℓ
[Damour & Nagar 2009]	Schwarzschild	weak, generic ℓ
[Kol & Smolkin 2012]	Schwarzschild	weak, electric-type
[Chakrabarti et al. 2013]	Schwarzschild	weak, electric, $\ell = 2$
[Gürlebeck 2015]	Schwarzschild	strong, axisymmetric
[Landry & Poisson 2015]	Kerr to $O(S)$	weak, quadrupolar
[Pani et al. 2015]	Kerr to $O(S^2)$	weak, $(\ell, m) = (2, 0)$

Problem of **fine-tuning** from an Effective-Field-Theory perspective

Investigating Kerr's Love



$$(\mathcal{E}_{\ell m}, \mathcal{B}_{\ell m}) \to \psi_0 \to \Psi \to h_{\alpha\beta} \to (M_{\ell m}, S_{\ell m}) \to \lambda_{\ell m}^{M/S, \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{B}}$$

Metric reconstruction through the Hertz potential Ψ

Perturbed Weyl scalar

- Recall that in the Newtonian limit we established

$$\lim_{c\to\infty} \psi_0^{\ell m} \propto \mathcal{E}_{\ell m}\, r^{\ell-2} \left[1 + 2k_{\ell m}\,(R/r)^{2\ell+1}\right] {}_2Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi)$$

- For a Kerr black hole the perturbed Weyl scalar reads

$$\psi_0^{\ell m} \propto \left[\mathcal{E}_{\ell m} + \tfrac{3i}{\ell+1}\,\mathcal{B}_{\ell m}\right] R_{\ell m}(r)\; {}_2Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\phi)$$

- Asymptotic behavior of general solution of static radial Teukolsky equation:

$$R_{\ell m}(r) = \underbrace{r^{\ell-2}\,(1+\cdots)}_{\text{tidal field }\; R^{\text{tidal}}_{\ell m}} + \kappa_{\ell m}\, \underbrace{r^{-\ell-3}\,(1+\cdots)}_{\text{linear response }\; R^{\text{resp}}_{\ell m}}$$

Why analytic continuation?

$$R_{\ell m}(r) = \underbrace{r^{\ell-2}\,(1+\cdots)}_{\text{tidal field } R^{\text{tidal}}_{\ell m}} + \kappa_{\ell m}\,\underbrace{r^{-\ell-3}\,(1+\cdots)}_{\text{linear response } R^{\text{resp}}_{\ell m}}$$

Ambiguity in the linear response [Fang & Lovelace 2005; Gralla 2018]

The decaying solution $R^{\text{resp}}_{\ell m}$ is affected by a radial coord. transfo.

Ambiguity in the tidal field [Pani, Gualtieri, Maselli & Ferrari 2015]

The growing solution $R^{\text{tidal}}_{\ell m} + \alpha R^{\text{resp}}_{\ell m}$ still qualifies as a tidal solution

Kerr black hole linear response

$$R_{\ell m}(r) = \underbrace{R^{\text{tidal}}_{\ell m}(r)}_{\sim r^{\ell-2}} + 2k_{\ell m}\underbrace{R^{\text{resp}}_{\ell m}(r)}_{\sim r^{-(\ell+3)}}$$

- The coefficients $k_{\ell m}$ can be interpreted as the Newtonian Love numbers of a Kerr black hole and read

$$k_{\ell m} = -im\chi \frac{(\ell+2)!(\ell-2)!}{4(2\ell+1)!(2\ell)!} \prod_{n=1}^{\ell} \left[n^2(1-\chi^2) + m^2\chi^2\right]$$

- The linear response vanishes identically when:
  - the black hole spin vanishes ($\chi = 0$)
  - the tidal field is axisymmetric ($m = 0$)
- Reconstruct the Kerr black hole response $h^{\text{resp}}_{\alpha\beta}$ via Ψ^{resp}

Love numbers of a Kerr black hole

- We compute the Love numbers to linear order in $\chi \equiv S/M^2$

Love numbers of a Kerr black hole

- We compute the Love numbers to linear order in $\chi \equiv S/M^2$
- The modes of the mass/current quadrupole moments are

$$\delta M_{2m} \doteq \frac{im\chi}{180}\,(2M)^5\,\mathcal{E}_{2m} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta S_{2m} \doteq \frac{im\chi}{180}\,(2M)^5\,\mathcal{B}_{2m}$$

Love numbers of a Kerr black hole

- We compute the Love numbers to linear order in $\chi \equiv S/M^2$
- The modes of the mass/current quadrupole moments are

$$\delta M_{2m} \doteq \frac{im\chi}{180}(2M)^5\,\mathcal{E}_{2m} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta S_{2m} \doteq \frac{im\chi}{180}(2M)^5\,\mathcal{B}_{2m}$$

- The black hole tidal bulge is rotated by 45° with respect to the quadrupolar tidal perturbation

Love numbers of a Kerr black hole

- We compute the Love numbers to linear order in $\chi \equiv S/M^2$
- The modes of the mass/current quadrupole moments are

$$\delta M_{2m} \doteq \frac{im\chi}{180}\,(2M)^5\,\mathcal{E}_{2m} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta S_{2m} \doteq \frac{im\chi}{180}\,(2M)^5\,\mathcal{B}_{2m}$$

- The black hole tidal bulge is rotated by 45° with respect to the quadrupolar tidal perturbation
- The associated dimensionless tidal Love numbers are

$$k_{2m}^{M\mathcal{E}} = k_{2m}^{S\mathcal{B}} \doteq -\frac{im\chi}{120} \quad \text{and} \quad k_{2m}^{M\mathcal{B}} = k_{2m}^{S\mathcal{E}} \doteq 0$$

Love numbers of a Kerr black hole

- We compute the Love numbers to linear order in $\chi \equiv S/M^2$
- The modes of the mass/current quadrupole moments are

$$\delta M_{2m} \doteq \frac{im\chi}{180}(2M)^5\,\mathcal{E}_{2m} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta S_{2m} \doteq \frac{im\chi}{180}(2M)^5\,\mathcal{B}_{2m}$$

- The black hole tidal bulge is rotated by 45° with respect to the quadrupolar tidal perturbation
- The associated dimensionless tidal Love numbers are

$$k_{2m}^{M\mathcal{E}} = k_{2m}^{S\mathcal{B}} \doteq -\frac{im\chi}{120} \quad \text{and} \quad k_{2m}^{M\mathcal{B}} = k_{2m}^{S\mathcal{E}} \doteq 0$$

- For a dimensionless black hole spin $\chi = 0.1$ this gives

$$|k_{2,\pm 2}| \simeq 2 \times 10^{-3} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \text{black holes are } \textbf{“rigid”}$$

Love tensor of a Kerr black hole

- For a nonspinning compact body we have the proportionality relations

$$\delta M_{ab} = \lambda_2^{\text{el}} \mathcal{E}_{ab} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta S_{ab} = \lambda_2^{\text{mag}} \mathcal{B}_{ab}$$

- For a spinning black hole we have the more general tensorial relations

$$\delta M_{ab} = \lambda_{abcd} \mathcal{E}_{cd} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta S_{ab} = \lambda_{abcd} \mathcal{B}_{cd}$$

- To linear order in the black hole spin vector S^a we find

$$\delta M_{ab} \doteq \frac{16}{45} M^3 S^c \mathcal{E}^d{}_{(a} \varepsilon_{b)cd}$$

$$\delta S_{ab} \doteq \frac{16}{45} M^3 S^c \mathcal{B}^d{}_{(a} \varepsilon_{b)cd}$$

Love tensor of a Kerr black hole

$$(\lambda_{abcd}) \doteq \frac{\chi}{180}(2M)^5 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{11} & \mathbf{I}_{12} & \mathbf{I}_{13} \\ \mathbf{I}_{12} & -\mathbf{I}_{11} & \mathbf{I}_{23} \\ \mathbf{I}_{13} & \mathbf{I}_{23} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{11} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{I}_{12} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & +1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{13} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{I}_{23} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Newtonian static quadrupolar tide





$$\mathcal{E}_{ab} = \frac{\mu}{r^3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\delta M_{ab} \doteq 3Q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\uparrow$

$$\frac{\chi}{180} (2M)^5 \frac{\mu}{r^3} = qd^2$$

Tidal torquing of a spinning black hole

[Thorne & Hartle 1980; Poisson 2004]



- An arbitrary spinning body interacting with a tidal environment suffers a tidal torquing:

$$\langle \dot{S}^a \rangle = -\varepsilon^{abc} \langle M_{bd} \mathcal{E}^d{}_c + S_{bd} \mathcal{B}^d{}_c \rangle$$

- Applied to a spinning black hole this yields

$$\langle \dot{S} \rangle \doteq -\frac{8}{45} M^5 \chi \left[2\langle E_1 + B_1 \rangle - 3\langle E_2 + B_2 \rangle \right]$$

Observing black hole tidal deformability

[Pani & Maselli 2019]



Accumulated GW phase in LISA band during quasi-circular inspiral down to Schwarzschild ISCO:

$$\Phi_{\text{tidal}} \simeq -2 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{10^{-7}}{\mu/M}\right)\left(\frac{k_2}{0.002}\right)$$

$\uparrow$

like 1st order dissipative self-force

Summary

- Love numbers of Kerr black holes do not vanish in general
- We computed in closed-form the leading (quadrupolar) Love numbers to linear order in the black hole spin
- Kerr black holes deform like any other self-gravitating body, despite being particularly “rigid” compact objects
- This is closely related to the phenomenon of tidal torquing
- The black hole tidal deformation contribution to the GW phase of EMRIs could be detectable by LISA
- New black hole test of the Kerr-like nature of the massive compact objects at the center of galaxies

Spinning black holes fall in Love!

Two basis of independent solutions

- Dimensionless radial coordinate and spin parameter:

$$x \equiv \frac{r - r_+}{r_+ - r_-} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \frac{a}{r_+ - r_-}$$

- Smooth and unsmooth solutions:

$$R_{\ell m}^{\text{smooth}} = x^{-2}(1+x)^{-2}\, \mathbf{F}(-\ell - 2, \ell - 1, -1 + 2im\gamma; -x)$$
$$R_{\ell m}^{\text{unsmooth}} = (1 + 1/x)^{2im\gamma}\, \mathbf{F}(-\ell + 2, \ell + 3, 3 - 2im\gamma; -x)$$

- Tidal and response solutions:

$$R_{\ell m}^{\text{tidal}} = \frac{x^{\ell}}{(1+x)^2}\, F(-\ell - 2, -\ell - 2im\gamma, -2\ell; -1/x) \sim x^{\ell - 2}$$
$$R_{\ell m}^{\text{resp}} = \frac{x^{-\ell-1}}{(1+x)^2}\, F(\ell - 1, \ell + 1 - 2im\gamma, 2\ell + 2; -1/x) \sim x^{-\ell - 3}$$

To Love or not to Love?

$$R_{\ell m}(r) = \underbrace{r^{\ell-2}\left(1+\cdots\right)}_{\text{tidal field } R^{\text{tidal}}_{\ell m}} + \kappa_{\ell m}\,\underbrace{r^{-\ell-3}\left(1+\cdots\right)}_{\text{linear response } R^{\text{resp}}_{\ell m}}$$

To Love or not to Love?

$$R_{\ell m}(r) = \underbrace{r^{\ell-2}\,(1+\cdots)}_{\text{tidal field } R^{\text{tidal}}_{\ell m}} + \kappa_{\ell m}\,\underbrace{r^{-\ell-3}\,(1+\cdots)}_{\text{linear response } R^{\text{resp}}_{\ell m}}$$

Eric Poisson is not in Love

- The growing solution $R^{\text{tidal}}_{\ell m}$ is not unique
- Specify it *uniquely* by requiring its **smoothness**
- Since $R_{\ell m}$ is smooth, he concludes that $\kappa_{\ell m} = 0$

To Love or not to Love?

$$R_{\ell m}(r) = \underbrace{r^{\ell-2}(1+\cdots)}_{\text{tidal field } R_{\ell m}^{\text{tidal}}} + \kappa_{\ell m}\,\underbrace{r^{-\ell-3}(1+\cdots)}_{\text{linear response } R_{\ell m}^{\text{resp}}}$$

Eric Poisson is not in Love

- The growing solution $R_{\ell m}^{\text{tidal}}$ is not unique
- Specify it *uniquely* by requiring its **smoothness**
- Since $R_{\ell m}$ is smooth, he concludes that $\kappa_{\ell m} = 0$

Marc Casals and I are in Love

- **Analytic continuation** of $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$
- The growing/decaying solutions are specified *uniquely*
- Smoothness of $R_{\ell m}$ yields a response coefficient $\kappa_{\ell m} \neq 0$

To Love or not to Love?

$$R_{\ell m}(r) = \underbrace{r^{\ell-2}\,(1+\cdots)}_{\text{tidal field } R^{\text{tidal}}_{\ell m}} + \kappa_{\ell m}\,\underbrace{r^{-\ell-3}\,(1+\cdots)}_{\text{linear response } R^{\text{resp}}_{\ell m}}$$

Eric Poisson is not in Love

- The growing solution $R^{\text{tidal}}_{\ell m}$ is not unique
- Specify it *uniquely* by requiring its **smoothness**
- Since $R_{\ell m}$ is smooth, he concludes that $\kappa_{\ell m} = 0$

Marc Casals and I are in Love

- **Analytic continuation** of $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$
- The growing/decaying solutions are specified *uniquely*
- Smoothness of $R_{\ell m}$ yields a response coefficient $\kappa_{\ell m} \neq 0$

Edgardo Franzin has mixed feelings